



Artificial Intelligence in Educational Research: Pitfalls and Avoidance Strategies

Asim, Alice Eta & Ita, Caroline Iserom

Department of Educational Foundations, University of Calabar, Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming educational research by enhancing data analysis, personalizing learner feedback, and automating complex research tasks. This theoretical paper presents a comprehensive exploration of the opportunities and risks associated with integrating AI into educational research, organized across key thematic areas. The introduction situates the relevance of AI within evolving educational landscapes, emphasizing the dual promise and peril it poses. The theoretical framework draws on constructivist, sociocultural, and critical theories to anchor the ethical and methodological lenses through which AI is examined. The application of AI in educational research is explored across areas such as intelligent tutoring systems, predictive analytics, automated literature review, and qualitative coding. However, the paper highlights a series of pitfalls, including algorithmic bias, data privacy issues, lack of transparency, and diminished human agency. To address these, mitigating strategies are proposed, emphasizing ethical AI development, inclusive data practices, human oversight, and transparency in algorithm design. The paper reflects on how these dynamics reshape the roles of researchers, educators, and learners, with a call for interdisciplinary collaboration and critical digital literacy. The conclusion underscores the importance of balancing technological advancement with ethical responsibility. This study offers a roadmap for responsible integration of AI into educational research and ensures that innovation does not compromise academic integrity or social equity.

Key words: Educational Research, Artificial Intelligence (AI), mitigating strategies

Introduction

The tripartite role of university education of teaching, research and community service must be met. The demand on tertiary education teachers is much, at every point in time. The problem is further exacerbated by large class size and demand of inclusivity (Asim & Nwogwugwu (2024). Apart from teaching, there's also the administrative burden on the university educator. This makes the job very cumbersome and leave the teacher with little or no time for research. The lack of AI training for integrating AI in to instruction on the path of the university teachers especially and even the students can be a very serious problem. Teachers are supposed to function as scaffolds for knowledge dissemination. However, when they lack the necessary knowledge and skills, the students are bound to suffer.

It is no longer news that AI holds immense promise in education and educational research and is currently becoming an indispensable tool in the hands of educators, especially in the higher institutions (Ita et.al, (2024). Despite these innovations, there have been emergence of potential risks and ethical implications. This has raised serious concerns and prompted debate on the future of AI. Issues such as

algorithmic bias, data privacy, transparency, and the ethical use of AI-generated findings are growing topics of debate. Questions arise about the ownership of AI-produced insights, the interpretability of AI-driven models, and the potential marginalization of qualitative paradigms in favour of more data-intensive approaches. These challenges need to be addressed to maximize its potential. There is need to look in to the use of AI in educational research, its setbacks and how to avoid these pitfalls in order to ensure appropriate and responsible use of this technology by the different stake holders in education.

This theoretical article seeks to explore the potential pitfalls of using AI in educational research and to propose strategies for their mitigation. Grounded in Critical Theory and an adapted version of the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework, the paper offers a critical yet constructive approach to AI integration. These frameworks help highlight both the ethical-political dimensions and the methodological-practical concerns of AI-assisted research. The goal is not to reject the use of AI, but to advocate for a more

reflective, inclusive, and context-sensitive application of AI tools in educational research. This includes fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, ensuring transparency in algorithmic processes, and promoting equity in research design and interpretation. To this end, the article proceeds with a discussion of the theoretical underpinnings, a review of key applications of AI in educational research, an exploration of common pitfalls, strategies for mitigation, and finally, implications for future research and policy development.

Theoretical Framework

Critical Theory, propounded by Jürgen Habermas (1984), focuses on questioning systems of power, ideology, and control that may be embedded within technologies, including AI. When applied to educational research, Critical Theory prompts scholars to question the assumptions behind data-driven methods and machine learning algorithms. For example, researchers must ask: Whose data is being collected? How are algorithms interpreting this data? What values and biases are embedded in AI-driven research tools? These questions are especially urgent given that AI systems can perpetuate existing inequalities if designed without attention to fairness, representation, and social justice (Eubanks, 2018). In this way, Critical Theory helps expose the socio-political structures that shape research outcomes and the potential marginalization of certain groups through algorithmic bias.

In tandem, the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework (Mishra & Koehler, 2006), although originally conceptualized for teaching, provides a valuable means for understanding the integration of AI in the research process. Within the context of educational research, TPACK can be adapted to include methodological knowledge alongside technological and content knowledge. AI-based tools, such as predictive analytics, natural language processing (NLP), and automated coding software, demand that researchers possess not only a deep understanding of their research questions and theoretical frameworks but also of how AI can enhance or distort the knowledge construction process.

Together, these frameworks foster a critical and reflective approach to AI in educational research. Critical Theory ensures that ethical, cultural, and political dimensions are not overlooked, while an adapted TPACK framework offers a practical guide for responsibly embedding AI into the research design and methodology. This dual lens encourages researchers to go beyond technical efficiency and

consider the broader impact of AI on knowledge production, scholarly inclusivity, and academic ethics.

Application of AI in Educational Research

AI in Qualitative Data Analysis

Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies, particularly Natural Language Processing (NLP), have revolutionized qualitative data analysis. Tools like ATLAS.ti and MAXQDA now incorporate AI-driven features that automate coding, thematic analysis, and summarization of textual data. These advancements significantly reduce the time and effort required for manual coding and thus enable researchers to focus on interpretation and theory development (Ita et al., 2024). For instance, AI can identify patterns and suggest sub-codes based on semantic analysis, enhancing the depth of qualitative insights (Costa & Minayo, 2018). However, the integration of AI in qualitative analysis necessitates careful consideration of ethical implications. Researchers must ensure transparency in AI processes and maintain critical oversight to prevent misinterpretation of nuanced data. Human judgment remains essential to validate AI-generated codes and themes, ensuring the integrity of qualitative research findings (Child Trends, 2023).

AI in Quantitative Data Analysis

In quantitative research, AI facilitates advanced data analysis through machine learning algorithms and predictive modeling. These tools can handle large datasets, uncover complex relationships, and predict educational outcomes with high accuracy. For example, AI can analyze student performance data to identify at-risk students, enabling timely interventions (Reuters, 2025). Moreover, AI enhances the scalability of quantitative studies by automating data cleaning, analysis, and visualization processes. This efficiency allows researchers to conduct more extensive studies with greater precision. Nevertheless, the reliance on AI requires researchers to possess a solid understanding of the algorithms used to ensure appropriate application and interpretation of results (Techasermwattanakul & Suwannathachote, 2025).

AI in Literature Review

Conducting comprehensive literature reviews is a foundational aspect of educational research. AI-powered tools streamline this process by automating the search, retrieval, and summarization of relevant literature. Platforms like Elicit and Consensus utilize large databases to provide researchers with organized summaries and key findings, significantly reducing the time required for manual reviews (Bolanos et al., 2024). These tools

also assist in identifying research gaps and trends by analyzing citation networks and thematic patterns. However, researchers must critically assess AI-generated summaries to ensure accuracy and relevance, as AI may overlook contextual nuances or misinterpret complex arguments (Kacena, 2023).

AI in Research Design and Methodology

AI contributes to research design by offering simulations and modeling tools that assist in hypothesis testing and experimental planning. For instance, AI can simulate educational interventions to predict potential outcomes, assisting in the refinement of research questions and methodologies. Additionally, AI supports adaptive research designs that can evolve in response to real-time data, enhancing the responsiveness and relevance of studies (Wagner et al., 2022). Despite these advantages, integrating AI into research design requires careful consideration of ethical standards, including data privacy and informed consent. Researchers must ensure that AI applications align with ethical guidelines and also ensure that participants' rights are protected throughout the research process (Child Trends, 2023).

AI in Survey and Instrumentation Development

AI is increasingly used to design and validate survey instruments and research tools. Large language models can suggest items for surveys, detect redundancy, and even assess the linguistic bias or cultural sensitivity of questions (Liang et al., 2023). These models enhance content validity by generating items that aligned with theoretical constructs, reducing the time researchers spend on initial drafts. Moreover, AI-driven platforms can simulate diverse respondent reactions, helping researchers to fine-tune response formats and scales. For example, GPT-4-based models can act as "synthetic respondents" to test reliability or refine unclear items (Zhang & Lin, 2023). However, ethical considerations are paramount to avoid embedding algorithmic bias in research instruments.

AI in Academic Writing and Research Dissemination

Researchers now use AI to assist in writing research proposals, abstracts, and manuscripts. AI writing assistants like Grammarly, Writefull, and even ChatGPT help to ensure grammar accuracy, paraphrasing, and stylistic consistency (Heaven, 2023). These tools also help non-native English speakers in international publishing contexts. Beyond writing, AI also aids in identifying the most relevant journals for submission, formatting manuscripts according to different style guides (APA, MLA), and optimizing visibility through keyword suggestions and abstract summarization. Nevertheless, concerns persist about plagiarism,

authorship integrity, and misuse, especially when AI contributions are not transparently declared (Van Dis et al., 2023).

AI in Meta-Analysis and Systematic Reviews

AI tools now support researchers conducting meta-analyses by automatically extracting effect sizes, coding variables, and organizing studies by relevance and methodology. Text mining techniques, such as those used in the Robot Reviewer or Rayyan software, significantly reduce the workload of screening and categorizing studies (Marshall & Wallace, 2019). This application is particularly helpful in educational research, where diverse methodologies and inconsistent reporting standards often complicate systematic reviews. AI assists in maintaining consistency and transparency across large evidence syntheses.

AI in Ethical Oversight and Bias Detection

One emerging application of AI is its use in flagging potential ethical issues in educational research projects. AI systems can scan research protocols and identify concerns related to privacy, consent, and algorithmic bias, thus supporting ethics review boards (Floridi et al., 2018). Moreover, bias detection algorithms help to ensure fairness in datasets and instruments, particularly concerning gender, race, and socio-economic status. These are areas that have historically suffered from underrepresentation or skewed data in educational research.

Pitfalls of Integrating AI in Educational Research

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness Issues

AI models often inherit biases from the data on which they are trained. In educational research, this can manifest and encourage the following scenarios: underrepresentation of certain groups in training datasets (e.g., ethnic minorities, rural populations, low-income students), Reinforcement of stereotypes if data reflect societal prejudices. skewed outcomes that disproportionately disadvantage marginalized communities. For example: If an AI model is trained predominantly on data from urban schools, its predictions and insights may not be valid for rural contexts, thereby leading to flawed generalizations. Implication: This undermines the validity and fairness of research findings and may perpetuate educational inequalities rather than mitigate them (Mehrabi et al., 2021).

Lack of Transparency and Explainability (Black Box Models)

Many AI models, particularly deep learning systems, function as 'black boxes,' meaning their internal decision-making processes are mysterious and not easily interpretable.

Researchers may struggle to explain how or why AI produced a particular result. This is a challenge to the principles of transparency and reproducibility in academic research. For example: An AI tool might cluster students based on learning styles, but without clear explanation of the features used for the clustering procedure, researchers cannot verify or critique the method. Implication: Lack of interpretability hinders scholarly scrutiny and weakens the credibility of research outcomes (Lipton, 2018).

Ethical and Privacy Concerns

AI applications often require access to large volumes of sensitive educational data, such as student demographics, behavior logs, and academic records. This promotes the risks of data breaches and misuse, and also, concern around informed consent and ownership of data arise. For example: An AI system that tracks student engagement in real time may infringe on privacy if not properly anonymized or consented to. Implication: Violating ethical standards harms participants and also damages the legitimacy of such research (Floridi et al., 2018).

Overreliance on Automation and Loss of Research Rigor

AI may automate complex tasks like coding, analyzing, or summarizing, leading to a false sense of infallibility. Researchers may become overdependent on AI, sidelining critical judgment. Nuanced aspects of research may be oversimplified or overlooked. For example: An AI might miss sarcasm or cultural context in qualitative data, which a human researcher would notice.

Implication: This reduces analytical depth and may produce shallow or misleading conclusions (Su et al., 2023).

Misalignment with Theoretical Frameworks

AI tools often function on data-first basis, focusing on statistical patterns rather than theoretical underpinnings. There is a risk for research to become descriptive rather than explanatory. Insights derived from AI may lack conceptual grounding. For example: An AI-generated correlation between student device use and academic performance may ignore motivational or socio-cultural factors. Implication: Such studies risk being atheoretical, limiting their contribution to educational knowledge (Gauthier, 2022).

Inequitable Access and Technological Divide.

Access to advanced AI tools and the expertise required to use them is unevenly distributed across institutions and regions. Researchers in the Global South or low-resource institutions may be left behind. This exacerbates the digital divide and affects the diversity of educational research. For example: Cutting-edge AI tools may require high-performance computing or costly subscriptions not accessible to all, especially those in countries in the Global South and developing countries in the Global North.

Implication: This creates structural inequalities in who gets to conduct cutting-edge research (Smith & Kumar, 2022).

Plagiarism, Authorship, and Intellectual Integrity.

With generative AI tools capable of drafting texts, there's a rising risk of: unacknowledged AI authorship, leading to disputes over originality. This poses difficulty in determining intellectual contributions. For example: A researcher may submit a literature review generated by AI without acknowledging the tool's role.

Implication: This poses a threat to the norms of academic honesty and could lead to ethical violations (Van Dis et al., 2023).

Obsolescence and Rapid Tool Evolution AI tools evolve rapidly. A method or platform used today may become obsolete tomorrow. Research workflows based on certain tools might lose relevance quickly. Replication becomes harder when tools or datasets are discontinued. For example: A study based on a now-defunct AI tool cannot be replicated or verified. Implication: This challenges the sustainability and reproducibility of research (Whittaker et al., 2021).

Mitigating Strategies for AI Pitfalls in Educational Research

Ensuring Fairness through Inclusive and Representative Data.

In order to address algorithmic bias, researchers must use diverse and representative datasets. This includes sourcing data from varied geographical, socioeconomic, and demographic groups. Equally, bias audits should be performed to detect and address imbalances in training data. Domain experts should also be involved in data curation to ensure contextual sensitivity. For instance, collecting balanced data from rural and urban schools ensures equitable AI model

performance. Regular data review and validation will help mitigate systemic biases (Mehrabi et al., 2021). Promoting Explainability through Interpretable Models

Explainable AI models help to counter the black-box nature of AI systems. Researchers can prioritize explainable AI (XAI). The use of interpretable models like decision trees, logistic regression, or transparent neural network will help reduce the mysterious black-box nature of AI models. Tools like SHAP or LIME can be implemented to understand how features impact outcomes. Explanation mechanisms should be included in research reporting.

This enables stakeholders to scrutinize and understand AI decisions, fostering trust and accountability in educational research (Lipton, 2018). Embedding Ethical and Privacy Safeguards

Ethical concerns can be mitigated by integrating robust privacy and data governance frameworks. This can be achieved by obtaining informed consent and ensuring anonymity of personal data.

Institutional and legal guidelines such as GDPR should be followed religiously while handling sensitive data. Data stewardship roles should also be established in order to monitor ethical compliance. Floridi et al. (2018), has elaborated on tools such as differential privacy and federated learning which can allow analysis without exposing individual-level data.

Combining Human Judgment with AI Support

To maintain research rigor, AI should complement but not replace human analysis. For example, AI can be used for preliminary coding or pattern recognition, followed by human validation. Researcher triangulation to cross-check AI outputs should also be encouraged.

Training should be provided to develop and boost critical AI literacy among researchers. This hybrid model will ensure depth, context, and theoretical grounding in educational research (Su et al., 2023).

Aligning AI Use with Theoretical Frameworks

To avoid atheoretical analysis, AI applications should be informed by research questions and frameworks. This can be achieved by Starting with a conceptual framework before choosing AI tools, using AI to test or extend theoretical models, not just to find correlations, interpreting results in light of educational theories. This strategy will ensure meaningful insights that can contribute to scholarly discourse (Gauthier, 2022).

Enhancing Equity through Capacity Building and Open Access

To bridge this technological divide will involve the Promotion of open-source AI tools and datasets, organizing training workshops, MOOCs, and collaborative research opportunities and encouraging funding bodies to support AI capacity in under-resourced institutions. These efforts will democratize AI research and ensure diverse contributions (Smith & Kumar, 2022).

Upholding Academic Integrity in AI Usage

To prevent unethical AI authorship, it is very important that the author cites clearly AI tools used in research outputs, adhere to journal and institutional guidelines on AI-generated content, educate researchers and students on proper AI citation practices. This is to foster transparency, ensure trust and preserves the value of human intellectual contribution (Van Dis et al., 2023).

Ensuring Sustainability through Documentation and Version Control

In order to avoid the use of obsolescence tools,

AI models, data sources, and processes used in the course of research should be properly documented, datasets and scripts should be archived for reproducibility. The use of open standards and long-term supported platforms should be preferable. These practices maintain and ensure the relevance and replicability of AI-driven research over time (Whittaker et al., 2021).

Discussion and Implications

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational research demands a critical re-examination of prevailing research paradigms, ethics, and methodologies. As AI increasingly mediates data analysis, interpretation, and dissemination, researchers must remain vigilant about its unintended consequences. A primary concern is algorithmic bias, which if left unaddressed, can reinforce systemic inequities. This reinforces the need for researchers to develop competencies in conducting fairness audits, selecting representative datasets, and critically interpreting AI outputs to prevent the marginalization of underrepresented populations.

Furthermore, the increasing automation of research tasks challenges traditional standards of academic rigor. While AI streamlines processes such as data coding, literature synthesis, and predictive modeling, it should augment but not replace human analytical judgment. The overreliance on AI risks eroding the interpretive richness and contextual sensitivity that characterize robust educational research. Thus,

institutions should revise research training curricula to emphasize AI literacy, critical evaluation, and ethical decision-making.

Another pressing implication is the opacity of AI systems, often referred to as the “black box” problem. Researchers must advocate for the use of explainable AI (XAI) tools that allow for transparency and traceability of algorithmic decisions. Such explainability enhances the reproducibility of research findings and fosters trust among stakeholders, including funding agencies, journal reviewers, and end users.

Additionally, ethical and privacy concerns are paramount in the use of AI, particularly with regard to handling sensitive educational data. This necessitates stronger institutional review frameworks that incorporate AI-specific policies on data ownership, anonymization, consent, and disclosure of AI-assisted processes. International frameworks such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) offer valuable guidance, but local contextualization remains essential.

Equity in access to AI tools also has far-reaching implications. Without proactive efforts to democratize AI through open-source technologies, institutional partnerships, and targeted funding, disparities between well-resourced and under-resourced researchers will likely widen. A more inclusive AI ecosystem requires collaboration across global networks to ensure shared knowledge, training, and infrastructure.

Finally, theoretical alignment remains essential. AI tools must be applied within well-defined research paradigms rather than merely used for pattern detection or descriptive output. When AI insights are grounded in established theoretical frameworks such as Critical Theory or the adapted TPACK model, they contribute meaningfully to academic discourse and policy recommendations.

In sum, this discussion highlights the need for a deliberate, ethical, and inclusive approach to AI integration in educational research. It calls upon stakeholders to balance technological innovation with the foundational principles of scholarship: rigor, transparency, inclusivity, and ethical responsibility.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence has emerged as both a powerful ally and a complex disruptor within the field of educational research. This paper has explored the transformative potential of AI across various domains, such as qualitative and quantitative analysis, literature synthesis, instrumentation, and dissemination, while also identifying significant ethical, methodological, and practical pitfalls. The

risks of algorithmic bias, reduced transparency, weakened academic rigor, and inequitable access underscore the importance of thoughtful and reflective integration.

To navigate these challenges, this study has proposed a set of robust mitigation strategies, including inclusive data practices, explainable AI models, ethical safeguards, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Anchored in Critical Theory and an adapted TPACK framework, these strategies advocate a balanced approach that enhances research quality without compromising ethical standards or academic integrity.

As educational researchers, we stand at a critical juncture. The promise of AI can only be fully realized if its application is guided by principled action, theoretical clarity, and a commitment to social justice. Institutions must rise to the occasion by fostering AI literacy, updating ethical review protocols, and ensuring equitable access to tools and training. Researchers must embrace AI critically, not blindly thereby leveraging its capabilities while remaining accountable for its consequences.

Ultimately, integrating AI into educational research is not a question of *if* but *how*. This paper offers a pathway forward: one that recognizes both the promise and the peril, and that strives to harness AI in a manner that advances knowledge, promotes equity, and preserves the integrity of educational inquiry.

References

- Aguaded-Gómez, J. I., & Pérez, A. R. (2020). Artificial intelligence applied to education: A critical review. *Digital Education Review*, 37, 1–18.
- Alam, F., Mehmood, R., Katib, I., & Albeshri, A. (2020). Data fusion and IoT for smart ubiquitous environments: A survey. *IEEE Access*, 6, 21075–21106.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2803441>
- Asim A E & Nwogugwu C E. (2024). Special needs Learners and Evaluation Concerns in Large Classes in Tertiary Education. Trending Issues in Evaluation. Edited by E A Okwilagwe & M N Odinko. Instructional Dynamic Group.
- Baker, R. S. (2019). Challenges for the future of educational data mining: The Baker Learning Analytics Prisms. *Journal of Educational Data Mining*, 11(1), 1–17.
- Becker, S., Cummins, M., Davis, A., Freeman, A., Hall Giesinger, C., & Ananthanarayanan, V. (2017). *NMC Horizon Report: 2017 Higher Education Edition*. The New Media Consortium.
- Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning: Lessons from political philosophy. *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 149–159.

- Chou, C. (2021). Ethical considerations for artificial intelligence in education. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100013>
- Cios, K. J., & Zapala, R. (2021). Explainable artificial intelligence: Current status and future directions. *Information Fusion*, 68, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2020.12.006>
- Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707.
- Gauthier, G. (2022). The challenge of integrating theory and AI in social sciences. *Educational Research Review*, 37, 100480.
- Heaven, W. D. (2023). ChatGPT is everywhere. Here's where it came from. *MIT Technology Review*.
- Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
- Ita, C I, Otu, B D and Egbai, J M (2024). Assessment of University Lecturers' Personal Variables and Attitude Towards Large Language Models as Tools for Professional Development in Cross River State. *African Journal of Theory and Practice of (AJTPER)*. Vol.12(10). June, 2024.)
- Kacena, M. (2023). AI Writes Scientific Papers That Sound Great—but Aren't Accurate. *Time*.
- Liang, Y., Zhu, Y., & Li, J. (2023). Using generative AI to create and validate survey items in social science. *AI & Society*.
- Lipton, Z. C. (2018). The mythos of model interpretability. *Communications of the ACM*, 61(10), 36–43.
- Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). *Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education*. Pearson Education.
- Marshall, I. J., & Wallace, B. C. (2019). Toward systematic review automation: A practical guide to using machine learning tools in research synthesis. *Systematic Reviews*, 8(1), 163.
- Mehrabani, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K., & Galstyan, A. (2021). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 54(6), 1–35.
- Nguyen, A., Yosinski, J., & Clune, J. (2015). Deep neural networks are easily fooled: High confidence predictions for unrecognizable images. *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 427–436.
- Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-017-0062-8>
- Saldaña, J. (2015). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers* (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Schiff, D., Biddle, J., & Borenstein, J. (2021). AI ethics in higher education: An academic overview and policy recommendation. *AI and Society*, 36(1), 111–123.
- Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education*. Polity Press.
- Smith, J. A., & Kumar, R. (2022). Digital inequality in AI research: A global perspective. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 70(1), 25–42.
- Su, S., Xu, J., & Pang, Y. (2023). Rethinking rigor in AI-supported qualitative research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 29(1), 3–12.
- Techasermwattanakul, P., & Suwannathachote, P. (2025). The application of generative AI in educational research: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Education and Innovation*, 27(1), 158–172.
- Van Dis, E. A. M., Bollen, J., Zuidema, W., Bockting, C. L. H., & Schoevers, R. A. (2023). ChatGPT: Five priorities for research. *Nature*, 614(7947), 224–226.
- Wagner, G., Lukyanenko, R., & Paré, G. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the conduct of literature reviews. *Journal of Information Technology*, 37(2), 209–226.
- Whittaker, M., Crawford, K., Dobbe, R., et al. (2021). *AI Now 2021 Report*. AI Now Institute.
- Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 45(3), 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1794948>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Emerging trends and patterns. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>